

CMAS International Rules World Championships Photography 2011/000

To the new settlement for the evaluation of the photos during the World Championships, questions to the form of scoring by the jury arose:

 As handled for years the privat jury judges in several runs all categories by the approved point-system 1-10 points.
Savan jurges hand in their judgement for each image ner computer or handwritten. The

Seven jurors hand in their judgement for each image per computer or handwritten. The highest and lowest rating for each photo will not be valuated. So ratings from five jurors result, which will be added.

From the addition of the points a ranking 1-? results.

The Top Ten of each category appear in the public judging - public jury-evaluation.

2. During the public judging the jurors rate the photos onto the same system, but with public evaluation. From these again released points result in turn a new top-ten ranking.

The first three ranks of each category will be honoured with gold, silver and bonze medals.

3. The points of the World Champion will be found by a modified rating system. Over the years it showed up that the addition of the points by the jury does not necessarily find out the desired World Champion, that is to say the best photographer. So it resulted that a member with 3-4 middle rankings could be World Champion while the top winner / medal ranks of the categories miss out. But it is desired to find the best of the best.

Thereupon the commission calculated with different scoring systems and ran through the ratings of the last World Championships. In this connection it became clear that bonus points may not be randomly assigned to the top-ten rankings.

4. The Fibonacci-sequence was selected, because here a sequence is quoted which can only be used as a whole.

This sequence quotes itself, because also the proportion numbers of the golden section (3:5) are based on this numbers and these relations show up again and again in architecture, in arts and in nature.

There are no other reasons so that at a later judgement quite another scoring system might occur.

The scoring shows as follows:

rank 11 (if existi	ng) – 0 points
rank 10	– 1 point
rank 9	– 1 point
rank 8	- 2 points
rank 7	- 3 points
rank 6	– 5 points
rank 5	- 8 points
rank 4	– 13 points
rank 3	- 21 points
rank 2	- 34 points
rank 1 – 55 points	
	1. 1. 1

The in 2009 still applied double score system will not be assembled anymore for bonusgranting.